



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,937		10/21/2003	Sandrine Chodorowski-Kimmes	wski-Kimmes 033924-003 319	
21839	7590	02/17/2006		EXAM	INER
		ERSOLL PC	DODSON, SHELLEY A		
•	(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				1616	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/688,937	CHODOROWSKI-KIMMES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SHELLEY A. DODSON	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	is action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
,							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	éd. /					
Attachment(s)		HELLEY AY DOOSON RIMARY EXAMINER					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/04 AND 8/05</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1.

Claims 1-36 are pending in this application filed 10/21/2003.

Applicant's claims are directed toward dibenzoylmethane sunscreen compositions photostabilized with amphiphilic block copolymers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention. Applicant has failed to specify which average molecular weight is used to define the molecular weight as claimed by the applicant in the instant case. The average molecular weight of a polymer is determined by a weight average, a number average, a viscosity average or a Z average. The four different types of average molecular weights mentioned above vary widely in numerical values for the same polymer. To merely state the molecular weights as bare numbers without any explanation as to how they were determined or arrived at is insufficient.

3.

Claims 2 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

4.

Claims 2 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit 1616

Claims 2 and 23 are viewed as indefinite in that molecular weights which are expressed as bare numbers only is meaningless without specifying which average molecular weight is used to define the above property of the polymer, i.e. is the average molecular weight a weight average, a number average, a viscosity average or a Z average molecular weight. These are the four different types of average molecular weights with each varying widely in numerical values for the same polymer. To simply state the molecular weights as bare numbers without any explanation as to how they were determined or arrived at is viewed as indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6.

Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by L'Alloret USP No. 6,994,846 B2.

L'Alloret discloses compositions for cosmetic or dermatological use containing a triblock polymer. In columns 2 through 13, L'Alloret further discloses a variety of specific diblock and triblock polymers, more specifically at least one hydrophilic and at least one hydrophobic block in the same amounts and proportions claimed by applicant in the instant case. 18-20, L'Alloret further discloses columns that specific sunscreening agents are also present. In column 18, lines 60-64, L'Alloret specifically discloses Parsol 1789 as claimed by In column 20, lines 25-45, L'Alloret teaches coated metal oxides, more specifically, titanium oxide or zinc oxide. column 21, L'Alloret teaches that said compositions may be in the form of gels, lotions, milks, pastes and creams. Further in column 21, lines 30-55, L'Alloret discloses that the compositions are used as hair products and make-up for the skin, scalp, hair, the

eyelashes, eyebrows, the nails or the mucous membranes. In columns 17 and 18, L'Alloret further discloses that other active agents may also be present as claimed by applicant. Although L'Alloret fails to specifically state that the copolymers are stabilizers for the sunscreens it is the Examiner's position that it is and inherent characteristic of the composition since it is the same composition claimed by applicant. Additionally, with respect to the composition, statements of intended use are of no patentable distinction when the composition is found in the prior art.

Information Disclosure Statement

7.

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 2/12/2004 and 8/17/2005 were noted and the submissions are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statements and made them of record.

Telephone Inquiries

8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley A. Dodson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0612 and fax number (571) 273-0612. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached at (571) 272-0629.

9.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. This new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence numbers to Group 1600. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shelley A. Dodson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1616

February 16, 2006